

Public Enemy Number One - Chris Finlayson.

[HERE](#) is the article, the source of what I am about to say.

I have made comments all the way through, in response to what Finlayson says

The government's been under pressure to explain what it means by co-governance in the wake of its water and health reforms.

DEFINITION

"Co-Governance is code for the takeover of New Zealand by tribal companies and their representatives, the installation of apartheid and separatism into everyday life, leading to full blown government by tribal rule"



Yes, it has been under pressure, but it won't say what it means by co-governance, even though it knows full well what it means, because if it did explain the term, as I do in my book, there would be an instant nation wide uprising. On the left is how I define co-governance. My book tells the truth. You can read my book [HERE](#)

But as former Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations Chris Finlayson explains, the concept itself is nothing new.

This is Chris's standard stock line - "It's nothing new" as though he is saying "Because it's been around for a long time, that makes it right." No, it does not make it right. If the whole concept of co-governance is based on deception, lies, half truths, and a gross distortion of what the Treaty actually says, then it's simply wrong. If the foundation is wrong, then the building on which it sits is wrong. Period. Just because foolish and history ignorant politicians in the past caved in to activists and Maori agitators, and were aided by people like Doug Graham and Finlayson, does not make it right. Bad law and bad legislation will always be bad, no matter how much time elapses between the making of those law and legislation and the year 2023.

He says it's time to accept it and go with the flow.

No Chris, we are not just going to "accept it and go with the flow." That's largely what the German population did in Germany in the 1920's and 30's and look what happened. Long term, co-governance will have catastrophic consequences for all New Zealanders and it must be stopped because it's illegal.

While he says there's room for robust debate about the co-governance model between the Crown and iwi and hapū, Finlayson's advice for dealing with the "sour right" behind the racist, resentful rhetoric: "We've just got to leave those losers behind and move on.

"They don't like tangata whenua. They dream of a world that never was and never could be," he says.

Wrong again Chris. The vast majority of Kiwis have no problem with the average Maori on

the Street. Why? Because there are many good Maori who are anti-governance, and all that it promotes and stands for - separatism, apartheid, racial division, and the destruction of democracy.

Like the rest of us, they want one law for all, one flag for all, racial harmony, equality, and democracy.

To say “they don’t like Tangata whenua” is appalling. He is race baiting, trying to make co-governance a race issue. The logical implication is that anyone who opposes co-governance is opposed to Maori generally. This is nonsense, for the reasons I have explained.

In New Zealand, tangata whenua is a Māori term that translates to “people of the land”. It can refer to either a specific group of people with historical claims to a district, or more broadly the Māori people as a whole.

Here’s the thing. Maori are not “people of the land”.

They were settlers here like the rest of us.

They are not a special group of people, an aristocracy, different from the others 160 cultures who live here. We are all equal.

Maori came from another land, and settled here, just like the rest of us. By definition, this means they are not indigenous. So any talk of them being indigenous and somehow special, deserving special rights, and special privileges, is not just plain wrong, but it’s spreading apartheid and racial division, things Kiwis fought against strongly in the 1970s and 80’.

Finlayson set up a number of co-governance arrangements during his time as a National government minister between 2008 and 2017.

This is exactly right. And his record of shameful dealings is second to none in our country’s history. Who says so? Listen to what University of Canterbury law lecturer Dr David Round has to say about Finlayson’s performance while in government.

“And what about Mr. Finlayson, that self-appointed crusader whose mission in life seems to be to raid the public purse for the purpose of righting every conceivable wrong in our history? In Finlayson’s eyes this brutal massacre of seventy settlers and friendly Maoris does not seem to have touched any instincts of humanity as, by the Rongowhakaata Claims Settlement Act that he steered through a compliant Parliament, he rewarded the descendants/tribe of Te Kooti, the architect of this atrocity, with a Crown “apology” for the “stigmatization of Te Kooti” as well as vesting the Matawhero Reserve in the tribe’s name and giving them \$250,000 of taxpayers’ money. We are not making this up!

However, when it is coupled with Finlayson chucking in an extra \$10 million of public funds to Ngati Toa for the “loss” of Te Rauparaha’s right to lead his war canoes across Cook Strait to murder and eat the inhabitants of Marlborough, it starts to fit a rather warped pattern.

Finlayson’s “morals” – or lack of them – are his own affair but to use taxpayers’ money a century and a half later to enrich the descendants of these cannibals and murderers is more than most principled New Zealanders can stomach and it is not surprising that questions are being asked whether Finlayson understands right from wrong.”¹

Finlayson takes The Detail back to the ground-breaking signing of the Treaty settlement between the Crown and Tainui in 1995, and explains how the settlement over raupatu claims led to the formation of the Waikato River Authority.

This is perhaps the biggest ruse in New Zealand’s crown/ treaty relationship. Not only were Tainui not entitled to this claim, but as a result of the settlement, Aucklanders could at any moment be screwed over by Tainui and Tuku Morgan by being charged extra levies for water going into Auckland. And who put ‘the deal’ together? Finlayson. You can read more [HERE](#). And [HERE](#).

It became the genesis of other co-governance arrangements, giving iwi an opportunity to participate directly with local or regional government to provide advice or take part in the management of a particular resource.

Dr David Round continues “Of course, Finlayson’s defenders – and he does have one or two of them, notably the New Zealand Herald – would argue that it is Parliament that passed this reward to the Te Kooti mob for the “stigmatisation” of his name and that Finlayson is only one vote in that “Chamber of Chamberlains”. This, however, ignores the reality that in Treaty matters Mr. Finlayson seems to reign supreme. John Key was putty in the hands of Finlayson and the National Party caucus was putty in the hands of John Key. And so, what Mr. Finlayson decided to give to Maori out of the public purse is exactly what they got. And the most repulsive thing of all is that Finlayson, as mentioned elsewhere, has been heavily defeated on all three occasions on which he has stood for Parliament in an electorate. The voters of Wellington have consistently rejected him and yet he seems to have the powers of a virtual dictator - powers that, as we shall see in the later chapters, he is using (or “abusing”) to steal vast resources from the public so as to enrich his iwi friends and to undermine the sovereignty of the nation.”

Finlayson says there will be tensions and aggravations.

Finlayson is trying to calm everyone down, reassuring them that ‘everything is Ok’ and that ‘they have nothing to worry about.’ The truth of the matter? They very opposite is true. If co-governance continues, New Zealand is fast headed to becoming the Zimbabwe of the South Pacific. Such is the trickery and dishonesty of Finlayson.

“That’s the price of moving on, just relax, just go with the flow.

When the truth is known about Finlayson, these statements by him are simply nauseating.

“I simply say to people, one, there’s a new regime, get with it folks; two, Rome wasn’t built in a day.”

What Finlayson would say, if he was honest, is “New Zealand will not be taken over by elite Maori in a day. This is going to take time. But I am deeply involved in this coup, and am doing everything I can to push it along, which I have been doing for a long time now.”

But he is also critical of the government’s handling of the debate, suggesting it needs to get out and talk to people with a simple, straightforward message.

This is such a deceitful thing to say. It’s pure spin. Chris, it’s not going to be Ok. We don’t all need to ‘go with the flow.’ We need to do the very opposite which is to rise up, protest, and say ‘no!’

The Detail also talks to Federation of Māori Authorities Chair Traci Houpapa, who has borne the brunt of angry abuse.

And why has she had to endure severe criticism and challenge? Answer? Because Kiwis are waking up to the realities of co-governance. Quite rightly, the push back is increasing. If only more Kiwis would join in.

“People are saying to me: ‘Why do you Māori want to change things?’ ‘Why are you after power and control or funding?’ ‘Why do you think you have any right to talk about co-governance?’”

These are all good questions, legitimate questions and they deserve full and honest answers. Honesty and transparency are concepts which Finlayson and Houpapa struggle with.

She says if the word partnership is used instead of co-governance, “then maybe people will feel more comfortable about it.”

No Traci, we’ll never feel ‘comfortable’ with co-governance.

Why? Because the whole basis of it is a fraudulent interpretation of the Treaty, and therefore wrong in absolutely every respect.

By the way, this article was written by a Radio NZ Journalist Sharon Brett Kelly. RNZ is heavily subscribed to the \$55 Public Interest Journalism Fund which requires them to promote the Maorification of New Zealand. That is to say, they will never tell the truth about co-governance, guaranteed.

