

# How Politicians Are Radicalising Maori

Ultimately, it's politicians who have created the Maori protests and their call for a separate parliament.

This started way back in 1840.

In 1840, the British government established what was called the Land Sales Commission. This commission was charged with investigating all land sales between Maori and settlers prior to Hobson's arrival in 1840.

The land sales Commissioner had made the fatal mistake of encouraging Maori to complain about their land sales in the same way the Waitangi Tribunal encourages Maori today to complain about historic land dealings e.g. the government pays all the legal fees of claimants; the government pays for researchers to research the history of a claimant's claim; the Tribunal accepts the stories, memories and anecdotes which the claimants bring to the tribunal as fact.

To non-Maori watching on, these 'rules' appear corrupt and unjust, even ludicrous. But it was politicians who allowed this to happen, allowed the 'rules' of the Waitangi Tribunal to be written into law.

With dollar sign in their eyes, such 'rules' encourage Maori to make fictitious claims and corruption abounds.

In 1840, the Land Sales Commission, wanting to be humane and generous, formulated similar rules. Again, it was government officials who did this.

The commission was flooded with Maoris making claims for land they had already been paid for by settlers.

Maori had worked out that they could get land back that they had sold if they made up a story about how their land had been purchased unjustly. Their stories were accepted as fact, the settler side of the story not so. The settler purchaser was left high and dry.

Maori began to lace their claims with aggression. What happened?

It came to the notice of the colonial government in London that Maoris were starting to rule the roost in New Zealand.

Former colonial secretary Lord John Russell said in 1843: **“This is the consequence of want of firmness in not resisting unjust demands. Such conduct [being soft on Maori] appears humane and generous, and kind; but really it is a weak yielding to intimidation and foolish concessions which lead to absurd demands. Such is always the consequences, especially when you are dealing with savage tribes”.**<sup>1</sup>

This is significant. It's the truth of history and we ought to learn from it. Can you see how history is repeating itself? Appeasement never works.

Colonial administrators in our early history created problems by not being firm with Maoris, formulating rules which opened the door to corruption, and encouraging Maori aggression. Deep down, the colonial officials in the 1840s feared Maori.

---

<sup>1</sup> Bain Attwood. *Empire And The Making Of Native Title*. Cambridge University Press. 2022. Pp324-25

The weakness and cowardice of New Zealand MPs, going back to 1840, of all parties, are to blame for the rampant apartheid, racism, and separatism - for the breakdown of democracy - that we see in our nation today.

The appeasement of Maori by MPs since 1843 has resulted in today's Maori protests and their demand for their own government.

For example, the creation of Maori seats is a classic example of MPs appeasement of Maoris backfiring.

Maori seats were first created in 1867 under the Maori Representation Act. They were created in order to give Maoris a more direct say in parliament. The electorates were intended as a temporary measure lasting five years but were extended in 1872 and made permanent in 1876. Weak wussy politicians have never had the guts to abolish them.

The 1986 Royal Commission on Electoral Reform recommended that if a proportional voting system was adopted (MMP), the Maori seats should be abolished.

In the 2023 election, Te Pati Maori got 3.08% of the vote, and 6 MPs. Act got 8.64% and only 11 MPs. NZ First 6.08% and 8 MPs. It's plain wrong that Maoris are so advantaged in this way i.e. so few votes and so many MPs.

After the election in 2023, over 30% of MPs identified as Maoris yet Maoris are only about 16% of the population.

They are doubly overrepresented.

Conclusion? The Maori seats must be abolished immediately. They were originally intended to give Maori a hand up. Now, they are just a weapon.

Their continuance is an example of apartheid, separatism, racism, and advantage given to one race at the expense of all the other 159 cultures who live in our country.

As such they are undemocratic. And any aspect of our government which is undemocratic must be weeded out with urgency.

So how should we conclude?

There is absolutely nothing to stop Maori from standing candidates in general elections like anyone else.

Given them special seats in Parliament is treating them like the IHC, a special needs group who can't compete on a level playing field. Continuing with Maori seats gives the perception that Maori are a special needs people group. This is the message we are sending to Maori if these seats are retained. It's demeaning, and I can't believe, for this reason, that more Maori are not calling for their abolition too.

In 2008 the National Party announced that it would abolish the Māori electorates when all historic Treaty of Waitangi settlements had been resolved, which it aimed to complete by 2014. We are now 2024, and there are 10,000 claims being processed by the Tribunal!

However the National-led government then made an agreement with the Māori Party to withdraw a question on the future of the Māori seats from a referendum on MMP scheduled for the 2011 election.

Once again, politicians caved in to Maori demands.

In 2014 the ACT Party advocated abolition of the Māori seats in its election manifesto. New Zealand First also advocated abolishing the separate electorates but said that Māori voters should make the decision.

So why have they not been abolished. Answer? Fear and cowardice. Politicians have become scared of Maori. Listen to John Key caving in to Maori threats.

Maori have gotten so used to privilege and advantage, stretching way back to 1843, that if they were viewed as citizens who were equal to everyone else in our country, they would throw the mother of all tantrums. Our politicians know this, so they keep caving in, keep appeasing.

**As philosopher and author Thomas Sowell wisely said** “When people get used to preferential treatment, equal treatment seems like discrimination.”

Whenever you appease Maori, you feed the monster. It just gets bigger and more demanding.

So what we have here now in our country is Maori activists, like Waititi, radicalising Maori.

You have politicians radicalising Maori.

What's the solution? The only solution is a brave PM who will put the preservation of democracy above all else.

Here is my message to Chris Luxon.

Chris, be brave. Be courageous. Do you want to be remembered as a bland, nice guy PM who tried to please everyone, and so be quickly forgotten in the annals of our history?

Or do you want to be the standout politician of the last 180 years? The one who brought back democracy when NZ was on the brink of civil war? Radical Maori are acting like spoilt children, who regularly revert to tantrums. And if you keep giving lollies to such children (appeasing them) when they demand them, as any wise parent will tell you, what do they become when they grow up? Correct. You breed tyrants.

Right before our very eyes, New Zealand is seeing this come true, and it started in 1843.

Chris, the choice is yours. If you choose to keep on appeasing Maori, which you've been doing, by increment, then you'll be remembered as the weak PM who cowardly caved in, like all your predecessors.

Rise up Chris, meet the brewing storm in our country with bravery and courage. You can do it. We'll back you all the way if you become that man.

If you fail, you'll be a one term Prime Minister. You will have missed your window of opportunity. You'll literally vaporise in the annals of our history.

Your cowardice will cause the public of New Zealand to reject you, and to scan the horizon looking for the right PM. Like a choice of cloaks, every man has the will within them to choose – cowardice or courage. That's the truth.